

# STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA) FINAL DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

For more information about this project visit www.redmond.gov/landuseapps

### PROJECT INFORMATION

**PROJECT NAME: Redmond Triangle** 

SEPA FILE NUMBER: SEPA-2015-01844

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** The project includes combining 4 parcels and a new 6-story mixed-use building with 2 levels of below grade parking. There is approximately 191 residential units, 7 live-work units, 219 parking stalls and 5,400 st of retail.

PROJECT LOCATION: Entire block of Redmond Way, NE 79th & 166th Ave.

SITE ADDRESS: 16450 REDMOND WAY

REDMOND, WA 98052

APPLICANT: Kate Miller

LEAD AGENCY: City of Redmond

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the requirements of environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation measures have been adequately addressed through the attached mitigating measures, the City's regulations and Comprehensive Plan and applicable State and Federal laws.

Additionally, the lead agency has determined that the proposal does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment as described under SEPA.

An Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

## CITY CONTACT INFORMATION

PROJECT PLANNER NAME: Gary Lee

**PHONE NUMBER:** 425-556-2418

EMAIL: glee@redmond.gov

# **IMPORTANT DATES**

#### **COMMENT PERIOD**

The final DNS is issued using the Notice of Application with Optional DNS process, provided for under WAC 197-11-355. The comment period for this DNS was provided for during the Notice of Application for the underlying permit. The comment period ended on . Therefore there is no further comment period for this DNS. However this Final DNS may be appealed as provided below.

#### APPEAL PERIOD

You may appeal this determination to the City of Redmond Planning Department, Redmond City Hall, 15670 NE 85th Street, P.O. Box 97010, Redmond, WA 98073-9710, no later than 5:00 p.m. on 12/02/2015, by submitting a completed City of Redmond Appeal Application Form available on the City's website at www.redmond.gov or at City Hall. You should be prepared to make specific factual objections.

**DATE OF FINAL DNS ISSUANCE: 11/17/2015** 

For more information about the project or SEPA procedures, please contact th project planner.

Robert G. Odle RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:

Planning Director

Robert S. Oalle

SIGNATURE:

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:

Linda E. De Boldt Public Works Director

SIGNATURE:

Address: 15670 NE 85th Street, Redmond, WA 98052



# **CITY OF REDMOND**

# ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST Project Action

# **Purpose of Checklist:**

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the City of Redmond identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

# **Instructions for Applicants:**

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply" and indicate the reason why the question "does not apply". It is not adequate to submit responses such as "N/A" or "does not apply"; without providing a reason why the specific section does not relate or cause an impact. Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. If you need more space to write answers attach them and reference the question number.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the City can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. When you submit this checklist the City may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

For Agency Use Only

**Planner Name** 

Gary Lee 10/21/15

Date of Review

Gary Lee 10/21/15

То	be c	ompleted by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
A.	BA	CKGROUND	
	1.	Name of proposed project, if applicable:	ОК
		Redmond Triangle	
	2.	Name of applicant:	ОК
		Tiscareno Associates (Architect)	
	3.	Address and phone number of applicant and Contact person:  1200 - 6th Avenue Suite 605 Seattle, WA 98101 Attn.: Kate Miller (206) 325-3356 ext. 514	ОК
	4.	Date checklist prepared: September 24, 2015	ОК
	5.	Agency requesting checklist:  Redmond Planning Department	ОК
	6.	Give an accurate, brief description of the proposal's scope and nature:  i. Acreage of the site:   0.98 Acres (after R.O.W. take)  ii Number of dwelling units/ buildings to be constructed:   191+7LW  iii Square footage of dwelling units/ buildings being added:   50,000  iv. Square footage of pavement being added:   0	ОК
		v. Use or Principal Activity: Multi-Family vi. Other information: 191 Apt. Units + 7 LW Units + 5,400 SF Retail	

To be	completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
7.	Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):	ОК	
	Estimated schedule: Coordinated civil submittal: 11/15 Building permit submittal: 02/16 Approximate construction start: 06/16 Approximate substantial completion: 04/18		
8.	Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further		
	activity related to or connected with this proposal?   Yes   No If yes, explain		
		ОК	
9.	List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.		
	Geotechnical report dated 05/07/15, prepared by Golder Assoc., Inc. Geotechnical report addendum dated 06/22/15, prepared by Golder Assoc., Inc. Level 2 Hydrogeologic report dated 06/25/15, prepared by Golder Assoc., Inc. Stormwater Report dated 06/15, prepared by Coughlin Porter Lundeen Traffic Impact Analysis dated 04/27/15, prepared by TSI Arborist Report dated 05/07/15, prepared by Tree Solutions, Inc.	OK	
10	. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal?  Yes  No If yes, explain.		
		OK	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
11. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.	OK
SPE SEPA Building Permits NPDES Construction General Permit - Ecology  12. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)  A mixed-use project with approximately 191 multi-family units, 7 live-work units, 219 parking stalls (approximately 194 on-site and approximately 25 street stalls) and 5,400 SF of retail space. The project has approximately 280,000 SF gross square feet including 150,000 rentable square feet of multifamily units. The project features a large outdoor courtyard as a building amenity.	OK
13. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. This triangular site is bound by intersections at N.E. Redmond Way and 166th Avenue N.E, N.E. Redmond Way and N.E. 79th Street and 166th Avenue N.E. Legal description for (4) combined parcels attached (Attachment A).	OK

То	be c	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
B.	EN	/IRONMENTAL ELEMENTS	
	1.	Earth	
		a. General description of the site (check one)	
		✓ Flat	0
		Rolling	
		Hilly	
		Steep slopes	
		☐ Mountainous	014
		Other	OK
		b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?	
		Describe location and areas of different topography.	OK
			OK
	18	The site slope is approximately less than 1%.	
		Secretary of the Property of the Control of the Con	
		c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay,	
		sand, gravel, peat, mulch)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.	
			OK
		The soil to the depths explored (41 feet below groun list of Alluvium made up of coarse to fine sand and gravel.	
		Ridviditi filade up of coarse to fille sand and graver.	
			-

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, describe.	ОК
There are no surface indications or history of unstable soils in the project vicinity.	
e. Describe the purpose, type, location and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.	
	ОК
No fill is expected.	
f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.	OV
Erosion will be minimal and confined to the excavation period. If any occurs it will be captured on our site. The site which is currently developed with various commercial buildings and associated parking and site improvements will be completely cleared, including complete building demolition. Structure and hardscape removal will expose soils leading to potential erosion. Excavation and shoring will begin immediately containing any possible runoff or erosion.	OK
g. About what percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?	OK
100%	OK
h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any.	OK
The site will consist of a large open shored excavation and no stormwater will leave the site uncontrolled. Areas of the site stripped to bare soil will not remain uncovered more than required to perform necessary excavation work in the area. Plastic sheeting will be utilized to cover stockpiles, temporary and permanent seeding will be utilized to protect soils.	OK

be (	completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	i. Does the landfill or excavation involve over 100 cubic yards throughout the lifetime of the project?	ОК
	Yes, approximately 34,000 cubic yards.	
2.	Air	
	a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e. dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke, and greenhouse gases) during construction and when the project is completed: If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.	OK
	During construction, there will be some dust, diesel and gas exhaust from temporary generators, construction equipment on site, forklifts and boom trucks, and material delivery trucks. After construction, there will be auto exhaust from tenants using the garage, and exhaust from the natural gas boilers.	
	<ul> <li>b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal?    ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, generally describe.</li> </ul>	ОК
	c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:	
	Emissions will be mitigated by proper maintenance of equipment while on site, prohibiting machinery from idling when not in use, and controlling dust with water. All finish products will have low VOC emissions.	ОК
3.	Water	
	a. Surface	
	1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)?  Yes  No If yes, describe type, location and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. Provide a sketch if not shown on site plans.	

To be comp	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
N/A		ОК
2.	Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters:  Yes V No If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Note approximate distance between surface waters and any construction, fill, etc	ОК
N/A		
3.	Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material, if from on site.	ОК
N/A		
4.	Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions?  ☐ Yes ✓ No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	ОК
N/A		
5.	Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain?  Yes  No If so, note location on the site plan.	ОК
	N P	

To be complete	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
6.	Does the proposal involve any discharge of waste materials to surface waters? Yes No If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.	ОК
N/A		
7.	Is your property located within the Bear/ Evans Creek Watershed (see attached map)? Yes No. If yes, answer questions 8 & 9; if No, go to the next section.	ОК
8.	Provide details on how your propose to maximize infiltration of runoff to recharge associated stream during the summer months.	N/A
9.	Does your project propose an increase in fecal coliform levels In surface water? If so, describe impacts.	N/A

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
b. Ground		
1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? ✓ Yes ☐ No Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	OK	
Provided groundwater depths support an infiltration system, the project will infiltrate clean roof stormwater runoff to recharge groundwater as feasible. Stormwater from pollution generating surfaces will not be infiltrated. Exact quantities unknown. Temporary construction dewatering will be utilized.		
2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.) Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.	OK	
No waste material will be discharged to the groundwater. The project will discharge sewage to the municipal sanitary sewer system.		
c. Water Runoff (including storm water):	я	
1. Describe the source(s) of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection, transport/conveyance, and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.	OK	
Stormwater will be the only source of runoff from the site. Stormwater will be collected on the roof and on the site and routed either to a limited on site infiltration system or directly to the municipal storm system in the streets adjacent to the site. Stormwater in the municipal system flows west in Redmond Way within a closed pipe system for approximately 8 blocks before discharging directly to the Sammamish River.	i i	
<ol> <li>Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.</li> </ol>	ОК	
No. Construction best management practices ("BMPs") will be implemented by the contractor during construction to prevent waste from entering ground or surface waters. A spill prevention plan will be in place to provide the appropriate response to construction related issues.		

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:  An approved spill prevention plan will be in place throughout construction to reduce water impacts. Temporary storage of fuel or waste material will be within approved containers with appropriate storage BMPs. No sediment laden runoff will be discharged to the municipal stormwater system.  4. Plants  a. Check and select types of vegetation found on the site:  ✓ Deciduous Tree: ☐ Alder ☐ Maple ☐ Aspen ☐ Other  ✓ Evergreen Tree: ☐ Cedar ☐ Fir ☐ Pine ☐ Other  ✓ Shrubs	To be complet	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
reduce water impacts. Temporary storage of fuel or waste material will be within approved containers with appropriate storage BMPs. No sediment laden runoff will be discharged to the municipal stormwater system.  4. Plants  a. Check and select types of vegetation found on the site:  Deciduous Tree: Alder Maple Aspen Other  Evergreen Tree: Cedar Fir Pine Other	d.		OK
a. Check and select types of vegetation found on the site:  ✓ Deciduous Tree: ☐ Alder ☐ Maple ☐ Aspen ☐ Other  ✓ Evergreen Tree: ☐ Cedar ☐ Fir ☐ Pine ☐ Other  — OK	reduce w within app	ater impacts. Temporary storage of fuel or waste material will be proved containers with appropriate storage BMPs. No sediment laden	
✓ Deciduous Tree: ☐ Alder ☐ Maple ☐ Aspen ☐ Other ✓ Evergreen Tree: ☐ Cedar ☐ Fir ☐ Pine ☐ Other  OK	4. Plants		
✓ Evergreen Tree: ☐ Cedar ☐ Fir ☐ Pine ☐ Other	a.	Check and select types of vegetation found on the site:	
Evergreen Tree: Cedar Fir Pine Other		✓ Deciduous Tree: ☐ Alder ☐ Maple ☐ Aspen ☐ Other	OK
✓ Shrubs		✓ Evergreen Tree: ☐ Cedar ☐ Fir ☐ Pine ☐ Other	
		✓ Shrubs	
✓ Grass			
Pasture			
Crop or Grain			
<ul><li>Wet soil plants: ☐ Cattail ☐ Buttercup ☐ Bullrush</li><li>☐ Skunk cabbage ☐ Other</li></ul>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2
☐ Water plants: ☐ Water lily ☐ Eelgrass ☐ Milfoil ☐ Other			
Other types of vegetation (please list)			
Other types of vegetation (piease list)		Other types of vegetation (please list)	
b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?	b.	P2 8/02	
OK			OK
All vegetation to be removed per written exception request. To be approved with the site plan entitlement application.			
			9
			,
			e

To be complete	Evaluation for Agency Use only						
c.	Provide the r	number of	significant a	nd landmark	trees located on		
	the site and e	stimate th	e number pr	oposed to be	removed		
	and saved in the table below:						
	Tree Type	Total (#)	Removed (#)	Saved (#)	Percentage saved (%)	OK	
	Landmark (>30" dbh*)	1	1		0		
	Significant (6" – 30" dbh*)	28	28		0		
	Percentage (%)	100	100		0		
		formation the develop	above is a pre ment review pro	liminary estima	he project's review ate only and could		
d.	List threaten the site.	ed or end	langered spe	cies known t	o be on or near	. ОК	
None.							
e.	Proposed lan				ther measures to 7:	ОК	
level 2 c	d street trees and ourtyard. Native ature of the site	e plants ar	e not being co	uidelines. Pro nsidered at thi	posed planters at s time due to the		

To be c	Evaluation for Agency Use only		
5.	Ani	ок	
	a.	Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site	
		☐ Birds: ☐ Hawk ☐ Heron ☐ Eagle ☐ Songbirds ☐ Other	
		☐ Mammals: ☐ Deer ☐ Bear ☐ Elk ☐ Beaver ☐ Other	
		☐ Fish: ☐ Bass ☐ Salmon ☐ Trout ☐ Herring	
		☐ Shellfish ☐ Other	OK
	b.	List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site	
	None	3	
	c.	Is the site part of a migration route: ☐ Yes ✓ No If so, explain?	ОК
	d. None	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:	ОК
6.	Ene	rgy and Natural Resources	
	a.	What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs: Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.	ОК
		gas for hot water and heating common areas and commercial spaces. or the project's remaining entire energy needs.	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? ✓ Yes ☐ No If so, generally describe.	OK
Our project will only impact buildings adjacent on the north side of 79th if they attempt to put a solar collector on a 1-3 story building (within approximately 30' from the property line).	
c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:	OK
The project will meet Washington State Energy Code, which is one of the most stringent in the country. Specific features include: efficient light fixtures, gas boilers, air conditioning equipment, insulated pipes, increased insulation on the exterior wall, insulated glazing and airtight assemblies for exterior walls.	OK .
7 Environmental Health	
a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk or fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? ☐ Yes ✓ No. If so, describe.	ОК
N/A	
Describe special emergency services that might be required.	ОК
N/A	
2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:	ОК
N/A	

To be complete	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
b.	Noise	
1.	What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic equipment, operation, other)?	ОК
There is s	some street noise (cars, etc.).	
2.	What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.	ОК
Construc	tion noise during hours set forth by the city.	
3.	Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:	ОК
None		
c.	Describe the potential use of the following:	
1.	✓ Flammable liquids	
2.	✓ Combustible liquids	
3.	✓ Flammable gases	
4.	Combustible or flammable fibers	
5.	Flammable solids	
6.	Unstable materials	
7.	Corrosives	
8.	Oxidizing materials	
9.	Organic peroxides	
10.	Nitromethane	
11.	Ammonium nitrate	
12.	Highly toxic material	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
13. Poisonous gas	
14. Smokeless powder	
15. Black sporting powder	
16. Ammunition	
17. Explosives	9
18. Cryogenics	
19. Medical gas	**
20. Radioactive material	
21.   Biological material	
22. High piled storage (over 12' in most cases)	
Heating of common areas will be supplied by natural gas provided to the site by Puget Sound Energy.  8. Land and Shoreline Use	
	OK
There are four existing structures on the site. Two are retail uses, one is office use with one residential unit and one is a church. The properties to the east are retail/restaurant; plans for a hotel have been mentioned Properties to the south have a bank and a retail center. To the north, there are single family residences, government service (teen center) and a bank; there are plans for a multi-family structure at the corner of 166th Avenue N.E. and N.E. 79th Street.	OK
b. Has the site been used for agriculture?  Yes  No If so,	
describe.	
	OK
	E .
c. Describe any structures on the site.	
There are four existing structures on the site, uses listed above.	ОК

To be complet	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
d.	Will any structures be demolished? ✓ Yes ☐ No If so, what?	ОК
All exist	ing structures will be demolished.	
e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site?  AP - Anderson Park (Downtown District)	ОК
	Other	
f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?  Downtown Mixed Use	ОК
	Other	
g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?	
	Not Applicable	OK
	Other	
h.	Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? ✓ Yes ☐ No If so, specify. (If unsure check with City)	
		OK
	dmond Triangle site lies within the City of Redmond Wellhead Protection  The site also is designated as a seismic hazard area by the City of ad	×
i.	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project.	
		OK
Approxi	mately 285 people.	
	*	
j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?	

To be complet	ed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
Approxi	mately 15 professionals and 1 resident.	OK
k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:	
This pro	ject provides new retail space, leasing offices and residential units.	ОК
1.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:	
Mixed-Ú Redmor structure	of Redmond Comprehensive Plan locates this site in the Downtown se zone promoting a mix of commercial and residential uses. Ind Zoning Code allows up to 6 stories of structure. Although 4 small less are being removed, new retail, structured parking and residential less replace it adding to the desired mixed-use downtown Redmond core.	OK
m.	What percentage of the building will be used for:	
	Warehousing	
	Manufacturing	
	Office	OK
	✓ Retail	
	Service (specify)	
	Other (specify)	
	✓ Residential	
n.	What is the proposed I.B.C. construction type?	ок
Five leve	els of type 5A over one level type 1A.	
0.	How many square feet are proposed (gross square footage including all floors, mezzanines, etc.)	
Approxir	mately 280,000 SF	OK
p.	How many square feet are available for future expansion (gross square footage including floors, mezzanines and additions).	

be o	completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	0	ОК
9.	Housing	
	<ul> <li>Approximately how many units would be provided, if any?</li> <li>Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.</li> </ul>	ОК
	191 multifamily units and 7 live/work units (middle income housing).	
	b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.	
	One unit is eliminated (middle income housing).	OK
	c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:	
	None.	OK
10.	Aesthetics	
	a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?	u u
	The tallest proposed height of the structure is approximately 88'-10" measured from the average grade plane. Principal exterior materials may include exposed concrete, masonry, storefront, high quality vinyl windows, FRC siding and metal siding.	
	b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?	'
	Existing structures block current street views. No structures in the immediate vicinity are over 2 stories.	ОК

o be c	omplet	ed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
	c. Unidentifi	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: ed at this time.	No aesthetic impacts identified. GL
11.	Lig	ht and Glare	
	a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce: What time of day or night would it mainly occur:	ок
	Typical gl	ass reflections during daylight hours.	
	b.	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views:	ОК
	No.		ū
	c.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?	ОК
	None.		
	d.	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:	OK
	The land exterior I	scape design includes new street trees lining all 3 street fronts. All ighting will be dark sky compliant and will not create light pollution.	
12.	Red	ereation	
	a.	What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only
Anderson Park, Downtown Central Park and Bear Creek Trail are within close proximity to the site.	OK
b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? Yes No If so, describe.	OK
<ul> <li>c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:</li> <li>The project will provide an exterior courtyard area for private use by residents and guests.</li> </ul>	OK
<ul> <li>13. Historic and Cultural Preservation         <ul> <li>a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.</li> </ul> </li> <li>None</li> </ul>	ОК
b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.  None	ОК
c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:  If archaeological artifacts or evidence is unearthed or exposed, the find shall be reported immediately to the City. The project shall be halted and a qualified archaeologist shall be called in the investigate and recommend preservation, further evaluation, excavation and appropriate mitigation or other appropriate treatment of the site following the procedures set forth in RZC 21.30.070.C.	OK

To be completed by applicant			Evaluation for Agency Use only
14.	. Transportation		
	a.	Identify public streets and highways service the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.	ОК
		is lined by public right-of-ways on all three sides. Two vehicular oints are proposed along N.E. 79th Street.	
	b.	Is site currently served by public transit?  Yes  No If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop.	ОК
		·	\(\rightarrow\)
	c.	How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?	OK
		npleted project will have approximately 219 stalls (194 on-site and 25 There are approximately 31 existing stalls.	
	d.	Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).	ОК
· .	improve	re plans to widen the N.E. Redmond Way right-of-way. Street ments are also planned for N.E. 79th Street. The sidewalk will be along 166th per City of Redmond requirements.	
	e.	How many weekday vehicular trips (one way) per day would be generated by the completed project? A)  If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur  B)a.m. & - C)p.m. How many of these trips occur in the a.m. peak hours? D) How many of these trips occur in the p.m. peak hours? E)	ОК
	D) 58 n	one way daily trips; B) between 7 and 9; C) between 4 and 6; ew AM peak hour trips (split 14 in and 44 out); E) 69 new PM peak os (split 43 in and 26 out)	

To be co	Evaluation for Agency Use only	
	f. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.  Unidentified at this time.	OK
15.	Public Services	
	a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? ✓ Yes ☐ No. If so, generally describe.	
	Potentially, yes. The project will add approximately 270 residents to the Anderson Park zone.	OK
	b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.	3
	Unidentified at this time.	OK :
16.	Utilities	
	<ul><li>a. Select utilities currently available at the site:</li><li> Electricity</li></ul>	
	✓ Natural gas	
	✓ Water	
	✓ Refuse service	-
	✓ Telephone	
	Sanitary Sewer	
	Septic System	

To be completed by applicant	Evaluation for Agency Use only  OK
Other	
b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.	
Utilities proposed for the project include Domestic and Sprinkler Water services as well as Sanitary Sewer provided by the City of Redmond. Natural Gas and Electricity provided by Puget Sound Energy. Refuse Service provided by Waste Management. Telephone and communications services provided by local providers to be determined.	ОК

# C. <u>SIGNATURE</u>

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:	Kate Miller	Digitally signed by Kate Miller DN: cn=Kate Miller, o=Tiscareno Associates, ou, email=katem@tiscareno.net, c=US Date: 2015.08.04 15:53:52 -07'00'
Date Subm	09/24/15	
Relationshi	p of signer to project:	Applicant / Project Manager

#### Attachment A

13. Legal Description

#### Parcel A

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 5 EAST, W.M., IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF STATE AID ROAD (NORTHEAST 79<sup>TH</sup> STREET), FORMERLY JACKSON STREET, WHICH POINT IS 160.0 FEET EAST AND 373.0 FEET SOUTH OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SUBDIVISION; THENCE SOUTH 0°06'00" EAST PARALLEL WITH THE WEST LINE OF SAID SUBDIVISION 3.96 FEET TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. 2 (REDMOND WAY); THENCE SOUTH 66°56'00" EAST ALONG SAID STATE ROAD LINE 158.40 FEET; THENCE NORTH 00°06'00" WEST 63.94 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID STATE AID ROAD; THENCE WEST 145.63 FEET ALONG SAID ROAD LINE TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING

#### Parcel B

THAT PORTION OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 5 EAST, WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF W. A. FAULDS ROAD NO. 177 (JACKSON STREET) WHICH IS 305.63 FEET EAST AND 378 FEET, MORE OR LESS, SOUTH OF THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 12; THENCE EAST, ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, 150 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF THE WEST 455.63 FEET OF SAID SECTION 12; THENCE SOUTH, PARALLEL WITH THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION 12, TO THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. 2; THENCE NORTHWESTERLY, ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE, TO A POINT SOUTH OF THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 65 FEET, MORE OR LESS, TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

#### Parcel C

THE EAST 95 FEET OF THE WEST 550.63 FEET OF THE SOUTH 197 FEET OF THE NORTH 575 FEET OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 5 EAST, W.M., IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON; EXCEPT THAT PORTION THEREROF LYING SOUTHWESTERLY OF THE NORTHEASTERLY LINE OF STATE ROAD NO. 2 (REDMOND WAY).

## Parcel D

THAT PORTION OF THE EAST 100 FEET OF THE WEST 650.63 FEET OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 25 NORTH, RANGE 5 EAST, W. M., IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON, LYING NORTH OF STATE ROAD NO. 2 (REDMOND WAY) AND SOUTH OF COUNTY ROAD NO. 177 (NORTHEAST 79TH STREET).

# **VICINITY MAP**

Project Name and Number: Redmond Triangle, LAND-2015-00874



